

(3)

CH/07

IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

W.P.No. 3277/2003

PETITIONER : Prahlad Ram Kurram,
S/o Dan Singh, Aged about 36 years,
Working as Chief Municipal Officer,
Kondagaon Nagar Palika, Baster (C.G.).
Distt. Baster (C.G.).

-Versus –

RESPONDENTS : 1. State of Chhattisgarh, Through Secretary,
Department of Paryawaran Awam Nagriya
Vikas Vibhag, Mantralaya, D.K.S. Bhawan,
Raipur (C.G.).

RECEIVED COPY!
9/10
CLERK TO A.G. BILASPUR

2. Under Secretary, Department of Paryawaran
Awam Nagriya Vikas Vibhag, Mantralaya,
D.K.S. Bhawan, Raipur (C.G.).

कार्यपथ आयुक्त
एन. के शुक्ला
बिलासपुर तहसील कोर्ट
8/9/10

3. Nagar Palika Parishad, Kondagaon (Baster)
through President, Nagar Palika Parishad,
Kondagaon, District- Baster (C.G.).

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUION OF INDIA FOR ISSUANCE OF A SUITABLE WRIT, ORDER OR DIRECTION TO DO JUSTICE IN THE MATTER.

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक WP 3277/03 सन् 200

विरुद्ध

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	28-11-2003	

Shri Prashant Jaiswal, counsel for the petitioner.

Shri Sanjay K. Agrawal, Deputy Advocate General takes notice for respondents 1 and 2.

By this petition filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, the petitioner has challenged the order dated 30-9-2003, Annexure-P/3 whereby the petitioner has been transferred from Nagar Palika Parishad, Kondagaon to Saraipali, District Mahasamund.

The petitioner is working as Chief Municipal Officer, at Kondagaon since 27-3-2001 and it has been mentioned in the petition that the petitioner is working to the satisfaction of all the concerned authorities. The transfer of the petitioner is contrary to the transfer policy. The mother of the petitioner is seriously ill and she is taking treatment at Kondagaon hospital. The petitioner's niece is studying in class Vth at Kondagaon High School.

I have heard the learned counsel for the parties.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the mother of the petitioner is seriously ill and she is taking treatment at Kondagaon hospital and it is not possible for him to shift his mother to the Saraipali, therefore, the petitioner may be allowed to make a detailed representation to respondent No.1 raising all his grievances to which the learned counsel for respondents 1 and 2 has no objection.

[पीछे देखिये

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक ..... सन् 200

..... विरुद्ध .....

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित -2-	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	Having heard the learned counsel for the parties and having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case, the petitioner is allowed to make a detailed representation raising all his grievances to the respondent no.1 within a period of 10 days from today and in turn, respondent no. 1 will decide the representation of the petitioner within a period of 30 days from the date of receipt of the representation considering all the grievances of the petitioner and shall pass a reasoned order in an objective manner. If the petitioner is not relieved then he may be allowed to continue in present place of posting till the representation is decided. With the aforesaid observations and directions, this writ petition is disposed of. Consequently, M.(W.)P. No. 2989/2003 and I.A. No. 9611/2003 also stand disposed of. Parties are entitled for certified copy of this order. Sd/- L.C.BHADOO Judge	

Bansal

पीछे देखिये